#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

**Motor Active** 

Chemwatch: **5355-76** Version No: **2.1.1.1** 

Safety Data Sheet according to WHS and ADG requirements

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 3

Issue Date: **07/06/2019** Print Date: **16/06/2019** L.GHS.AUS.EN

#### SECTION 1 IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE / MIXTURE AND OF THE COMPANY / UNDERTAKING

#### **Product Identifier**

Product name	Citrus Blast Wash & Wax
Synonyms	D11301 (USGal/3.79L)
Other means of identification	Not Available

#### Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses Automotive.

#### Details of the supplier of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	Motor Active	
Address 35 Slough Business Park, Holker Street Silverwater NSW 2128 Australia		
Telephone	<b>Telephone</b> +61 2 9737 9422 1800 350 622	
Fax	+61 2 9737 9414	
Website	www.motoractive.com.au	
Email	andrew.spira@motoractive.com.au	

#### Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	Motor Active
Emergency telephone numbers	+61 2 9737 9422 (For General Information Monday to Friday 8:30am to 5:pm)
Other emergency telephone numbers	13 11 26 (In Case of Emergency contact: Poison Information Hotline)

#### **SECTION 2 HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION**

#### Classification of the substance or mixture

#### HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL. NON-DANGEROUS GOODS. According to the WHS Regulations and the ADG Code.

#### CHEMWATCH HAZARD RATINGS

	Min	Max	
Flammability	0		
Toxicity	0		0 = Minimum
Body Contact	3		1 = Low 2 = Moderate
Reactivity	0		3 = High
Chronic	Λ		4 = Extreme

Poisons Schedule Not Applicable  Classification [1] Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2, Serious Eye Damage Category 1, Acute Aquatic Hazard Category 3	

## Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)



SIGNAL WORD DANGER

#### Hazard statement(s)

H315	Causes skin irritation.
H318	Causes serious eye damage.
H402	Harmful to aquatic life.

#### Supplementary statement(s)

Not Applicable

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 2 of 13 Issue Date: 07/06/2019

Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 16/06/2019 Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

#### CLP classification (additional)

Not Applicable

#### Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P280	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.	
P273	Avoid release to the environment.	

#### Precautionary statement(s) Response

P305+P351+P338	IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.	
P310 Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician.		
P362 Take off contaminated clothing and wash before reuse.		
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water.	
P332+P313	If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.	

#### Precautionary statement(s) Storage

Not Applicable

#### Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local regulations.

#### **SECTION 3 COMPOSITION / INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS**

#### Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

#### Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
68585-34-2	1-5	sodium lauryl ether sulfate
68585-47-7	1-5	sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate
7647-14-5	1-5	sodium chloride
68140-00-1	<=1	coconut monoethanolamide
7732-18-5	70-90	water

#### **SECTION 4 FIRST AID MEASURES**

#### Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	If this product comes in contact with the eyes:  Immediately hold eyelids apart and flush the eye continuously with running water.  Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids.  Continue flushing until advised to stop by the Poisons Information Centre or a doctor, or for at least 15 minutes.  Transport to hospital or doctor without delay.  Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.
Skin Contact  Skin Contact  If skin contact occurs:  Immediately remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear.  Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available).  Seek medical attention in event of irritation.	
Inhalation	<ul> <li>If fumes, aerosols or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area.</li> <li>Other measures are usually unnecessary.</li> </ul>
Ingestion	<ul> <li>If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting.</li> <li>If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration.</li> <li>Observe the patient carefully.</li> <li>Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious.</li> <li>Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink.</li> <li>Seek medical advice.</li> </ul>

#### Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

#### **SECTION 5 FIREFIGHTING MEASURES**

#### Extinguishing media

The product contains a substantial proportion of water, therefore there are no restrictions on the type of extinguishing media which may be used. Choice of extinguishing media should take into account surrounding areas.

Though the material is non-combustible, evaporation of water from the mixture, caused by the heat of nearby fire, may produce floating layers of combustible substances.

In such an event consider:

- Foam.
- ▶ dry chemical powder.
- carbon dioxide.

Chemwatch: **5355-76**Version No: **2.1.1.1** 

# Page 3 of 13 Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019** Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

#### Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility	None known.	
ice for firefighters		
	► Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.	
	<ul> <li>Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves in the event of a fire.</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water courses.</li></ul>	
Fire Fighting	▶ Use fire fighting procedures suitable for surrounding area.	
	► DO NOT approach containers suspected to be hot.	
	► Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location.	
	► If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire.	
	► Equipment should be thoroughly decontaminated after use.	
	The emulsion is not combustible under normal conditions. However, it will break down under fire conditions and the hydrocarbon component will burn.	
	Decomposes on heating and produces toxic fumes of:	
Fire/Explosion Hazard	carbon dioxide (CO2)	
-	sulfur oxides (SOx)	
	other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.	
	May emit poisonous fumes.	
	May emit corrosive fumes.	

#### **SECTION 6 ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES**

HAZCHEM Not Applicable

#### Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

#### **Environmental precautions**

See section 12

#### Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	<ul> <li>Clean up all spills immediately.</li> <li>Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes.</li> <li>Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment.</li> <li>Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite.</li> <li>Wipe up.</li> <li>Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal.</li> </ul>
Major Spills	Moderate hazard.  Clear area of personnel and move upwind.  Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.  Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves.  Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course.  Stop leak if safe to do so.  Contain spill with sand, earth or vermiculite.  Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling.  Neutralise/decontaminate residue (see Section 13 for specific agent).  Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal.  Wash area and prevent runoff into drains.  After clean up operations, decontaminate and launder all protective clothing and equipment before storing and re-using.  If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

#### **SECTION 7 HANDLING AND STORAGE**

Precautions for safe handling	g
Safe handling	<ul> <li>DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin</li> <li>Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation.</li> <li>Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs.</li> <li>Use in a well-ventilated area.</li> <li>Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps.</li> <li>DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked.</li> <li>DO NOT allow material to contact humans, exposed food or food utensils.</li> <li>Avoid contact with incompatible materials.</li> <li>When handling, DO NOT eat, drink or smoke.</li> <li>Keep containers securely sealed when not in use.</li> <li>Avoid physical damage to containers.</li> <li>Always wash hands with soap and water after handling.</li> <li>Work clothes should be laundered separately. Launder contaminated clothing before re-use.</li> <li>Use good occupational work practice.</li> <li>Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.</li> <li>Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions are maintained.</li> </ul>
Other information	Store in original containers.     Keep containers securely sealed.     Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area.     Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers.     Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks.     Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Version No: 2.1.1.1

#### Page 4 of 13 Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: 07/06/2019 Print Date: 16/06/2019

#### Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container

- ► Polyethylene or polypropylene container.
- Packing as recommended by manufacturer.
- ► Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.

Storage incompatibility

Avoid contamination of water, foodstuffs, feed or seed

#### **SECTION 8 EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION**

#### **Control parameters**

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

Not Available

#### **EMERGENCY LIMITS**

Ingredient	Material name		TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
sodium chloride	Chloride; (Chloride(1-); Chloride ions)		0.5 ppm	2 ppm	20 ppm
Ingredient	Original IDLH	Rev	vised IDLH		
sodium lauryl ether sulfate	Not Available	Not Available			
sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate	Not Available	Not Available			
sodium chloride	Not Available	Not	t Available		
coconut monoethanolamide	Not Available	Not	t Available		
water	Not Available	Not	t Available		

#### MATERIAL DATA

#### Exposure controls

Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection.

The basic types of engineering controls are:

Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.

Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.

Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.

General exhaust is adequate under normal operating conditions. If risk of overexposure exists, wear SAA approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection. Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage areas. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.

Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:
solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air)	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min)
aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)
direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min)
grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).	2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)

#### Appropriate engineering controls

Within each range the appropriate value depends on:

Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only	2: Contaminants of high toxicity
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood - local control only

Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min.) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.

#### Personal protection











#### Eye and face protection

- Safety glasses with side shields
- Chemical goggles.
  - Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 5 of 13 Issue Date: 07/06/2019 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 16/06/2019

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Lass of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent] Skin protection See Hand protection below ▶ Wear chemical protective gloves, e.g. PVC. Wear safety footwear or safety gumboots, e.g. Rubber NOTE: The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact. Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed. The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application. The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended. Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include: frequency and duration of contact, chemical resistance of glove material, glove thickness and dexterity Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent). When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according Hands/feet protection to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term Contaminated gloves should be replaced. As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as: Excellent when breakthrough time > 480 min Good when breakthrough time > 20 min Fair when breakthrough time < 20 min Poor when glove material degrades For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended. It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times. Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers' technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task. Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example: Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of. Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is

abrasion or puncture potential

Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.

### **Body protection**

#### See Other protection below

#### Other protection

- Overalls. ▶ P.V.C. apron.
- ► Barrier cream.
- Skin cleansing cream.
- Eye wash unit.

#### Recommended material(s)

#### GLOVE SELECTION INDEX

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the:

"Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the computergenerated selection

Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Material	СРІ
BUTYL	С
NATURAL RUBBER	С
NATURAL+NEOPRENE	С
NEOPRENE	С
NITRILE	С
PVA	С
VITON	С

\* CPI - Chemwatch Performance Index

A: Best Selection

B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion

C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

NOTE: As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

\* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise

#### Respiratory protection

Type A Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Selection of the Class and Type of respirator will depend upon the level of breathing zone contaminant and the chemical nature of the contaminant. Protection Factors (defined as the ratio of contaminant outside and inside the mask) may also be important.

Required minimum protection factor	Maximum gas/vapour concentration present in air p.p.m. (by volume)	Half-face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator
up to 10	1000	A-AUS / Class1	-
up to 50	1000	-	A-AUS / Class 1
up to 50	5000	Airline *	-
up to 100	5000	-	A-2
up to 100	10000	-	A-3
100+			Airline**

\* - Continuous Flow \*\* - Continuous-flow or positive pressure demand A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO2), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH3), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

▶ Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown

Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019**Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

- vapour concentrations or oxygen content.
- The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.
- Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

#### **SECTION 9 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

#### Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	Liquid; mixes with water.		
Physical state	Liquid	Relative density (Water = 1)	1.01
Odour	Not Available	Partition coefficient n-octanol / water	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	Auto-ignition temperature (°C)	Not Applicable
pH (as supplied)	8.8-9.5	Decomposition temperature	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	Not Available	Viscosity (cSt)	Not Available
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	100	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Not Applicable
Flash point (°C)	Not Applicable	Taste	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	Explosive properties	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	Oxidising properties	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Applicable	Volatile Component (%vol)	Not Available
Vapour pressure (kPa)	Not Available	Gas group	Not Available
Solubility in water	Miscible	pH as a solution (1%)	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	Not Available	VOC g/L	3

#### **SECTION 10 STABILITY AND REACTIVITY**

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	<ul> <li>Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials.</li> <li>Product is considered stable.</li> <li>Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.</li> </ul>
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

#### **SECTION 11 TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

#### Information on toxicological effects

Inhaled	The material is not thought to produce either adverse health effects or irritation of the respiratory tract following inhalation (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless, adverse systemic effects have been produced following exposure of animals by at least one other route and good hygiene practice requires that exposure be kept to a minimum and that suitable control measures be used in an occupational setting.
Ingestion	Not normally a hazard due to non-volatile nature of product  Ingestion may result in nausea, abdominal irritation, pain and vomiting
Skin Contact	Evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either produces inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or produces significant inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals, for up to four hours, such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period. Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.  The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects. Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.
Eye	When applied to the eye(s) of animals, the material produces severe ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation.
Chronic	Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.  Limited evidence shows that inhalation of the material is capable of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a significant number of individuals at a greater frequency than would be expected from the response of a normal population.

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 7 of 13

Version No: 2.1.1.1

Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019**Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

Pulmonary sensitisation, resulting in hyperactive airway dysfunction and pulmonary allergy may be accompanied by fatigue, malaise and aching. Significant symptoms of exposure may persist for extended periods, even after exposure ceases. Symptoms can be activated by a variety of nonspecific environmental stimuli such as automobile exhaust, perfumes and passive smoking.

There exists limited evidence that shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a significant number of individuals, and/or of producing positive response in experimental animals.

	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
Citrus Blast Wash & Wax	Dermal (None) LD50: >5000 mg/kg* <sup>[2]</sup>	Not Available
	Oral (None) LD50: >5000 mg/kg* <sup>[2]</sup>	
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
Power Lawrence and the constitute	Oral (rat) LD50: 1600 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
sodium lauryl ether sulfate		Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup> Skin (rabbit):25 mg/24 hr moderate  Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup> IRRITATION  Eye (rabbit): 10 mg - moderate  Eye (rabbit): 100 mg/24h-moderate  Eye (rabbit): 250 ug - mild  Skin (human): 25 mg/24h - mild  Skin (rabbit): 25 mg/24h - moderate  Skin (rabbit): 50 mg/24h - SEVERE  IRRITATION  Eye (rabbit): 10 mg - moderate  Eye (rabbit): 100 mg/24h - mild  IRRITATION  IRRITATION  IRRITATION  Not Available
		Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
	Oral (rat) LD50: 1288 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye (rabbit): 10 mg - moderate
		Eye (rabbit):100 mg/24h-moderate
sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate		Eye (rabbit):250 ug - mild
		Skin (human): 25 mg/24h - mild
		Skin (rabbit):25 mg/24h-moderate
		Skin (rabbit):50 mg/24h - SEVERE
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
sodium chloride	Oral (rat) LD50: 3000 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye (rabbit): 10 mg - moderate
sodium chioride		Eye (rabbit):100 mg/24h - moderate
		Skin (rabbit): 500 mg/24h - mild
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
coconut monoethanolamide	Oral (rat) LD50: >2000 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Not Available
	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
water	Oral (rat) LD50: >90000 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Not Available
Legend:	Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substance     data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of che	res - Acute toxicity 2.* Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified emical Substances

Alkyl ether sulfates (alcohol or alkyl ethoxysulfates) (AES) (syn: AAASD ,alkyl alcohol alkoxylate sulfates, SLES) are generally classified according to Comité Européen des Agents de Surface et leurs Intermédiaires Organiques (CESIO) as Irritant (Xi) with the risk phrases R38 (Irritating to skin) and R36 (Irritating to eyes). An exception has been made for AES (2-3E0) in a concentration of 70-75% where R36 is substituted with R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes).

AES are not included in Annex 1 of the list of dangerous substances of Council Directive 67/548/EEC.

Acute toxicity: AES are of low acute toxicity. Neat AES are irritant to skin and eyes. The irritation potential of AES containing solutions depends on concentration. Local dermal effects due to direct or indirect skin contact with AES containing solutions in hand-washed laundry or hand dishwashing are not of concern because AES is not a contact sensitiser and AES is not expected to be irritating to the skin at in-use concentrations. The available repeated dose toxicity data demonstrate the low toxicity of AES. Also, they are not considered to be mutagenic, genotoxic or carcinogenic, and are not reproductive or developmental toxicants. The consumer aggregate exposure from direct and indirect skin contact as well as from the oral route via dishware residues results in an estimated total body burden of 29 ug /kg bw/day.

AES are easily absorbed in the intestine in rats and humans after oral administration. Radiolabelled C11 AE3S and C12 AE3S were extensively metabolized in rats and most of the 14C-activity was eliminated via the urine and expired air independently of the route of administration (oral, intraperitoneal or intravenous). The main urinary metabolite from C11 AE3S is propionic acid-3-(3EO)-sulfate. For C12 and C16 AE3S, the main metabolite is acetic acid-2-(3EO)-sulfate. The alkyl chain appears to be oxidised to CO2 which is expired. The EO-chain seems to be resistant to metabolism. AES are better tolerated on the skin than, e.g., alkyl sulfates and it is generally agreed that the irritancy of AES is lower than that of other anionic surfactants. Alkyl chain lengths of 12 carbon atoms are considered to be more irritating to the skin compared to other chain lengths. The skin irritating properties of AES normally decrease with increasing level of ethoxylation. Undiluted AES should in general be considered strongly irritating. Even at concentrations of 10% moderate to strong effects can be expected. However, only mild to slight irritation was observed when a non-specified AES was applied at 1% to the skin.

SODIUM LAURYL ETHER SULFATE

Subchronic toxicity: A 90-day subchronic feeding study in rats with 1% of AE3S or AE6S with alkyl chain lengths of C12-14 showed only an increased liver/body weight ratio. In a chronic oral study with a duration of 2 years, doses of C12-AE3S of 0.005 - 0.05% in the diet or drinking water had no effects on rats. The concentration of 0.5% sometimes resulted in increased kidney or liver weight.

Subchronic 21-day repeat dose dietary studies showed low toxicity of compounds with carbon lengths of C12-15, C12-14 and C13-15 with sodium or ammonium alkyl ethoxylates with POE (polyoxyethylene) n=3. One study indicated that C16-18 POE n=18 had comparable low toxicity. No-observed-adverse-effect levels (NOAELs) range from 120 to 468 mg/kg/day, similar to a NOAEL from a 90-day rat gavage study with NaC12-14 POE n=2(CAS RN 68891-38-3), which was reported to be 225 mg/kg/day. In addition, another 90-day repeat dose dietary study with NaC12-15 POE n=3 (CAS RN 68424-50-0) resulted in low toxicity, with a NOAEL of greater than approximately 50 mg/kg/day (calculated based on dose of 1000 ppm in diet). Effects were usually related to hepatic hypertrophy, increased liver weight, and related increases in haematological endpoints related to liver enzyme induction.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: No evidence of reproductive and teratogenic effects was seen in a two-generation study in rats fed with a mixture (55:45) of AES and linear alkylbenzene sulfonates. Dietary levels of 0.1, 0.5, and 1% were administered to the rats either continuously or during the period of major organogenesis during six pregnancies. No changes in reproductive or embryogenic parameters were observed.

Based on this study an overall no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAFL) for systemic effects was 0.1% which was 86.6 mg/kg/day for the EO generation.

Based on this study an overall no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL) for systemic effects was 0.1%, which was 86.6 mg/kg/day for the F0 generation, and 149.5 mg/kg/day for the F1 generation. The NOAEL of 86.6 mg/kg/day was selected as the toxicology endpoint for the chronic risk assessment for the sulfate derivatives.

Carcinogenicity: Chronic dietary studies conducted with rats showed no incidence of cancer and no effects at the concentrations tested (lowest dose

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 8 of 13 Issue Date: 07/06/2019
Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 16/06/2019

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

tested was ca 75 mg/kg/day).

NOTE: Some products containing AES/ SLES have been found to also contain traces (up to 279 ppm) of 1,4-dioxane; this is formed as a by-product during the ethoxylation step of its synthesis. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends that these levels be monitored. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency classifies 1,4-dioxane to be a probable human carcinogen (not observed in epidemiological studies of workers using the compound, but resulting in more cancer cases in controlled animal studies), and a known irritant with a no-observed-adverse-effects level of 400 milligrams per cubic meter at concentrations significantly higher than those found in commercial products. Under Proposition 65, 1,4-dioxane is classified in the U.S. state of California to cause cancer. The FDA encourages manufacturers to remove 1,4-dioxane, though it is not required by federal law.

Sensitising potential: Polyethers, for example, ethoxylated surfactants and polyethylene glycols, are highly susceptible towards air oxidation as the ether oxygens will stabilize intermediary radicals involved. Investigations of a chemically well-defined alcohol (pentaethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether) ethoxylate, showed that polyethers form complex mixtures of oxidation products when exposed to air.

Sensitization studies in guinea pigs revealed that the pure nonoxidized surfactant itself is nonsensitizing but that many of the investigated oxidation products are sensitizers. Two hydroperoxides were identified in the oxidation mixture, but only one (16-hydroperoxy-3,6,9,12,15-pentaoxaheptacosan-1-ol ) was stable enough to be isolated. It was found to be a strong sensitizer in LLNA (local lymph node assay for detection of sensitization capacity). The formation of other hydroperoxides was indicated by the detection of their corresponding aldehydes in the oxidation mixture.

On the basis of the lower irritancy, nonionic surfactants are often preferred to ionic surfactants in topical products. However, their susceptibility towards autoxidation also increases the irritation. Because of their irritating effect, it is difficult to diagnose ACD to these compounds by patch testing.

\* [CESIO]

for alkyl sulfates; alkane sulfonates and alpha-olefin sulfonates

Most chemicals of this category are not defined substances, but mixtures of homologues with different alkyl chain lengths. Alpha-olefin sulfonates are mixtures of alkene sulfonate and hydroxyl alkane sulfonates with the sulfonate group in the terminal position and the double bond, or hydroxyl group, located at a position in the vicinity of the sulfonate group.

Common physical and/or biological pathways result in structurally similar breakdown products, and are, together with the surfactant properties, responsible for similar environmental behavior and essentially identical hazard profiles with repard to human health.

Acute toxicity: These substances are well absorbed after ingestion; penetration through the skin is however poor. After absorption, these chemicals are distributed mainly to the liver.

Acute oral LD50 values of alkyl sulfates in rats and/or mice were (in mg/kg):

C10-: 290-580

C10-16-, and C12-; 1000-2000

C12-14, C12-15, C12-16, C12-18 and C16-18-; >2000

C14-18, C16-18-; >5000

The clinical signs observed were non-specific (piloerection, lethargy, decreased motor activity and respiratory rate, diarrhoea). At necropsy the major findings were irritation of the gastrointestinal tract and anemia of inner organs.

Based on limited data, the acute oral LD50 values of alkane sulfonates and alpha-olefin sulfonates of comparable chain lengths are assumed to be in the same range.

The counter ion does not appear to influence the toxicity in a substantial way.

Acute dermal LD50 values of alkyl sulfates in rabbits (mg/kg):

C12-; 200

C12-13 and C10-16-;>500

Apart from moderate to severe skin irritation, clinical signs included tremor, tonic-clonic convulsions, respiratory failure, and body weight loss in the study with the C12- alkyl sulfate and decreased body weights after administration of the C10-16- alkyl sulfates. No data are available for alkane sulfonates but due to a comparable metabolism and effect concentrations in long-term studies effect concentrations are expected to be in the same range as found for alkyl sulfates.

There are no data available for acute inhalation toxicity of alkyl sulfates, alkane sulfonates or alpha-olefin sulfonates.

In skin irritation tests using rabbits (aqueous solutions, OECD TG 404): C8-14 and C8-16 (30%), C12-14 (90%), C14-18 (60%)- corrosive

Under occlusive conditions:

C12, and C12-14 (25%), C12-15-, C13-15 and C15-16 (5-7%) - moderate to strong irritants

Comparative studies investigating skin effects like transepidermal water loss, epidermal electrical conductance, skin swelling, extraction of amino acids and proteins or development of erythema in human volunteers consistently showed a maximum of effects with C12-alkyl sulfate, sodium; this salt is routinely

used as a positive internal control giving borderline irritant reactions in skin irritation studies performed on humans. As the most irritant alkyl sulfate it can be concluded that in humans 20% is the threshold concentration for irritative effects of alkyl sulfates in general. No data were available with regard to the skin irritation potential of alkane sulfonates. Based on the similar chemical structure they are assumed to exhibit similar skin irritation properties as alkyl sulfates or alpha-olefin sulfonates of comparable chain lengths.

In eye irritation tests, using rabbits, C12-containing alkyl sulfates (>10% concentration) were severely irritating and produced irreversible corneal effects. With increasing alkyl chain length, the irritating potential decreases, and C16-18 alkyl sulfate sodium, at a concentration of 25%, was only a mild irritant. Concentrated C14-16- alpha-olefin sulfonates were severely irritating, but caused irreversible effects only if applied as undiluted powder. At concentrations below 10% mild to moderate, reversible effects, were found. No data were available for alkane sulfonates

Alkyl sulfates and C14-18 alpha-olefin sulfonates were not skin sensitisers in animal studies. No reliable data were available for alkane sulfonates. Based on the similar chemical structure, no sensitisation is expected.

However anecdotal evidence suggests that sodium lauryl sulfate causes pulmonary sensitisation resulting in hyperactive airway dysfunction and pulmonary allergy accompanied by fatigue, malaise and aching. Significant symptoms of exposure can persist for more than two years and can be activated by a variety of non-specific environmental stimuli such as a exhaust, perfumes and passive smoking.

Absorbed sulfonates are quickly distributed through living systems and are readily excreted. Toxic effects may result from the effects of binding to proteins and the ability of sulfonates to translocate potassium and nitrate (NO3-) ions from cellular to interstitial fluids. Airborne sulfonates may be responsible for respiratory allergies and, in some instances, minor dermal allergies. Repeated skin contact with some sulfonated surfactants has produced sensitisation dermatitis in predisposed individuals

Repeat dose toxicity: After repeated oral application of alkyl sulfates with chain lengths between C12 and C18, the liver was the only target organ for systemic toxicity. Adverse effects on this organ included an increase in liver weight, enlargement of liver cells, and elevated levels of liver enzymes. The LOAEL for liver toxicity (parenchymal hypertrophy and an increase in comparative liver weight) was 230 mg/kg/day (in a 13 week study with C16-18 alkyl sulfate, sodium). The lowest NOAEL in rats was 55 mg/kg/day (in a 13 week study with C12-alkyl sulfate, sodium).

C14- and C14-16-alpha-olefin sulfonates produced NOAELs of 100 mg/kg/day (in 6 month- and 2 year studies). A reduction in body weight gain was the only adverse effect identified in these studies.

No data were available with regard to the repeated dose toxicity of alkane sulfonates. Based on the similarity of metabolic pathways between alkane sulfonates, alkyl sulfates and alkyl-olefin sulfonates, the repeated dose toxicity of alkane sulfonates is expected to be similar with NOAEL and LOAEL values in the same range as for alkyl sulfates and alpha-olefin sulfonates, i.e. 100 and 200-250 mg/kg/day, respectively, with the liver as potential target organ.

Genotoxicity: Alkyl sulfates of different chain lengths and with different counter ions were not mutagenic in standard bacterial and mammalian cell systems

#### SODIUM MONO-C10-16-ALKYL SULFATE

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 9 of 13
Version No: 2.1.1.1

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019**Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

both in the absence and in the presence of metabolic activation. There was also no indication for a genotoxic potential of alkyl sulfates in various in vivo studies on mice (micronucleus assay, chromosome aberration test, and dominant lethal assay).

alpha-Olefin sulfonates were not mutagenic in the Ames test, and did not induce chromosome aberrations in vitro. No genotoxicity data were available for alkane sulfonates. Based on the overall negative results in the genotoxicity assays with alkyl sulfates and alpha-olefin sulfonates, the absence of structural elements indicating mutagenicity, and the overall database on different types of sulfonates, which were all tested negative in mutagenicity assays, a genotoxic potential of alkane sulfonates is not expected.

Carcinogenicity: Alkyl sulfates were not carcinogenic in feeding studies with male and female Wistar rats fed diets with C12-15 alkyl sulfate sodium for two years (corresponding to doses of up to 1125 mg/kg/day).

alpha-Olefin sulfonates were not carcinogenic in mice and rats after dermal application, and in rats after oral exposure.

No carcinogenicity studies were available for the alkane sulfonates.

Reproductive toxicity. No indication for adverse effects on reproductive organs was found in various oral studies with different alkyl sulfates. The NOAEL for male fertility was 1000 mg/kg/day for sodium dodecyl sulfate. In a study using alpha-olefin sulfonates in male and female rats, no adverse effects were identified up to 5000 ppm.

**Developmental toxicity:** In studies with various alkyl sulfates (C12 up to C16-18- alkyl) in rats, rabbits and mice, effects on litter parameters were restricted to doses that caused significant maternal toxicity (anorexia, weight loss, and death).

The principal effects were higher foetal loss and increased incidences of total litter losses. The incidences of malformations and visceral and skeletal anomalies were unaffected apart from a higher incidence of delayed ossification or skeletal variation in mice at > 500 mg/kg bw/day indicative of a delayed development. The lowest reliable NOAEL for maternal toxicity was about 200 mg/kg/day in rats, while the lowest NOAELs in offspring were 250 mg/kg/day in rats and 300 mg/kg/day for mice and rabbits.

For alpha-olefin sulfonates (C14-16-alpha-olefin sulfonate, sodium) the NOAEL was 600 mg/kg/day both for maternal and developmental toxicity. No data were available for the reproductive and developmental toxicity of alkane sulfonates. Based on the available data, the similar toxicokinetic properties and a comparable metabolism of the alkyl sulfates and alkane sulfonates, alkane sulfonates are not considered to be developmental toxicants.

Although the database for category members with C<12 is limited, the available data are indicating no risk as the substances have comparable toxicokinetic properties and metabolic pathways. In addition, longer-term studies gave no indication for adverse effects on reproductive organs with different alkyl sulfates

The material may produce severe skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure, and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) thickening of the epidermis.

Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis. Prolonged contact is unlikely, given the severity of response, but repeated exposures may produce severe ulceration.

Alkyl sulfates (AS) anionic surfactants are generally classified according to Comité Européen des Agents de Surface et leurs Intermédiaires Organiques (CESIO) as Irritant (Xi) with the risk phrases R38 (Irritating to skin) and R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes). An exception has been made for C12 AS which is classified as Harmful (Xn) with the risk phrases R22 (Harmful if swallowed) and R38 and R41 (CESIO 2000). AS are not included in Annex 1 of list of dangerous substances of Council Directive 67/548/EEC.

AS are readily absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration. Penetration of AS through intact skin appears to be minimal. AS are extensively metabolized in various species resulting in the formation of several metabolites. The primary metabolite is butyric acid-4-sulfate. The major site of metabolism is the liver. AS and their metabolites are primarily eliminated via the urine and only minor amounts are eliminated via the faeces. In rats about 70-90% of the dose was eliminated via the urine within 48 hours after oral, intravenous or intraperitoneal administration of 1 mg of AS per rat. The acute toxicity of AS in animals is considered to be low after skin contact or oral intake.

For a homologous series of AS (C8 to C16), maximum swelling of stratum corneum (the outermost layer of epidermis) of the skin was produced by the C12 homologue. This is in accordance with the fact that the length of the hydrophobic alkyl chain influences the skin irritation potential. Other studies have shown that especially AS of chain lengths C11, C12 and C13 remove most amino acids and soluble proteins from the skin during washing.

Concentrated samples of AS are skin irritants in rabbits and guinea pigs. AS are non-irritant to laboratory animals at a 0.1% concentration. C12 AS is used in research laboratories as a standard substance to irritate skin and has been shown to induce an irritant eczema. AS were found, by many authors, to be the most irritating of the anionic surfactants, although others have judged the alkyl sulfates only as irritant as laurate (fatty acid soap).

A structure/effect relationship with regard to the length of the alkyl chain can also be observed on mucous membranes. The maximum eye irritation occurs at chain lengths of C10 to C14. In acute ocular tests, 10% C12 AS caused comeal damage to the rabbit eyes if not irrigated. Another study showed that a 1.0% aqueous C12 AS solution only had a slight effect on rabbit eyes, whereas 5% C12 AS caused temporary conjunctivitis, and 25% C12 AS resulted in corneal damage.

In a 13-week feeding study, rats were fed dietary levels of 0, 40, 200, 1,000 or 5,000 ppm of C12 AS. The only test material related effect observed was an increase in absolute organ weights in the rats fed with the highest concentration which was 5,000 ppm. The organ weights were not further specified and no other abnormalities were found.

In a mutagenicity study, rats were fed 1.13 and 0.56% C12 AS in the diet for 90 days. This treatment did not cause chromosomal aberrations in the bone marrow cells.

Mutagenicity studies with Salmonella typhimurium strains (Ames test) indicate no mutagenic effects of C12 AS). The available long-term studies in experimental animals (rats and mice) are inadequate to evaluate the carcinogenic potential of AS. However, in studies in which animals were administered AS in the diet at levels of

up to 4% AS, there was no indication of increased risk of cancer after oral ingestion.

No specific teratogenic effects were observed in rabbits, rats or mice when pregnant animals were dosed with 0.2, 2.0, 300 and 600 mg C12 AS/kg body weight/day by gavage during the most important period of organogenesis (day 6 to 15 of pregnancy for mice and rats and day 6 to 18 of pregnancy for rabbits). Reduced litter size, high incidence of skeletal abnormalities and foetal loss were observed in mice at 600 mg C12 AS/kg/day, a dose level which also caused severe toxic effects in the parent animals in all three species . An aqueous solution of 2% AS was applied (0.1 ml) once daily to the dorsal skin (2 x 3 cm) of pregnant mice from day 1 to day 17 of gestation. A solution of 20% AS was tested likewise from day 1 to day 10 of gestation. The mice were killed on days 11 and 18, respectively. A significant decrease in the number of implantations was observed when mice were treated with 20% AS compared to a control group which was dosed with water. No evidence of teratogenic effects was noted.

When aqueous solutions of 2% and 20% AS (0.1 ml) were applied once per day to the dorsal skin (2 x 3 cm) of pregnant ICR/Jc1 mice from day 12 to day 17 of gestation no effects on pregnancy outcome were detected. Treatment with 20% AS resulted in growth retardation of suckling mice, but this effect disappeared after weaning. A 10% AS solution (0.1 ml) was applied twice daily to the dorsal skin (2 x 3 cm) of pregnant ICR/Jc1 mice during the preimplantation period (days 0-3 of gestation). A significant number of embryos collected on day 3 as severely deformed or remained at the morula stage. The number of embryos in the oviducts was significantly greater for the mice dosed with AS as compared to the control mice. No pathological changes were detected in the major organs of the dams

#### SODIUM CHLORIDE

Ashma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ceases. This may be due to a non-allergenic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur following exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Key criteria for the diagnosis of RADS include the absence of preceding respiratory disease, in a non-atopic individual, with abrupt onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. A reversible airflow pattern, on spirometry, with the presence of moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia, have also been included in the criteria for diagnosis of RADS. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. Industrial bronchitis, on the other hand, is a disorder that occurs as result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particulate in nature) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterised by dyspnea, cough and mucus production.

The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce a contact dermatitis (nonallergic). This form of dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling epidermis. Histologically there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 10 of 13

Version No: 2.1.1.1

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019**Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

For Fatty Nitrogen Derived (FND) Amides (including several high molecular weight alkyl amino acid amides)

The chemicals in the Fatty Nitrogen Derived (FND) Amides of surfactants are similar to the class in general as to physical/chemical properties, environmental fate and toxicity. Human exposure to these chemicals is substantially documented.

The Fatty nitrogen-derived amides (FND amides) comprise four categories:

Subcategory I: Substituted Amides

Subcategory II: Fatty Acid Reaction Products with Amino Compounds (Note: Subcategory II chemicals, in many cases, contain Subcategory I chemicals as major components)

Subcategory III: Imidazole Derivatives

Subcategory IV: FND Amphoterics

Acute Toxicity: The low acute oral toxicity of the FND Amides is well established across all Subcategories by the available data. The limited acute toxicity of these chemicals is also confirmed by four acute dermal and two acute inhalation studies.

Repeated Dose and Reproductive Toxicity: Two subchronic toxicity studies demonstrating low toxicity are available for Subcategory I chemicals. In addition, a 5-day repeated dose study for a third chemical confirmed the minimal toxicity of these chemicals. Since the Subcategory I chemicals are major components of many Subcategory II chemicals, and based on the low repeat-dose toxicity of the amino compounds (e.g. diethanolamine, triethanolamine) used for producing the Subcategory II derivatives, the Subcategory I repeat-dose toxicity studies adequately support Subcategory II.

Two subchronic toxicity studies in Subcategory III confirmed the low order of repeat dose toxicity for the FND Amides Imidazole derivatives. For Subcategory IV, two subchronic toxicity studies for one of the chemicals indicated a low order of repeat-dose toxicity for the FND amphoteric salts similar to that seen in the other categories.

Genetic Toxicity in vitro: Based on the lack of effect of one or more chemicals in each subcategory, adequate data for mutagenic activity as measured by the Salmonella reverse mutation assay exist for all of the subcategories.

Developmental Toxicity: A developmental toxicity study in Subcategory I and in Subcategory IV and a third study for a chemical in Subcategory III are available. The studies indicate these chemicals are not developmental toxicants, as expected based on their structures, molecular weights, physical properties and knowledge of similar chemicals. As above for repeat-dose toxicity, the data for Subcategory I are adequate to support Subcategory II. In evaluating potential toxicity of the FND Amides chemicals, it is also useful to review the available data for the related FND Cationic and FND Amines Category chemicals. Acute oral toxicity studies (approximately 80 studies for 40 chemicals in the three categories) provide LD50 values from approximately 400 to 10,000 mg/kg with no apparent organ specific toxicity, Similarly, repeated dose toxicity studies (approximately 35 studies for 15 chemicals) provide NOAELs between 10 and 100 mg/kg/day for rats and slightly lower for dogs. More than 60 genetic toxicity studies (in vitro bacterial and mammalian cells as well as in vivo studies) indicated no mutagenic activity among more than 30 chemicals tested. For reproductive evaluations, 14 studies evaluated reproductive endpoints and/or reproductive organs for 11 chemicals, and 15 studies evaluated developmental toxicity for 13 chemicals indicating no reproductive or developmental effects for the FND group as a whole.

Some typical applications of FND Amides are:

masonry cement additive; curing agent for epoxy resins; closed hydrocarbon systems in oil field production, refineries and chemical plants; and slip and antiblocking additives for polymers.

The safety of the FND Amides to humans is recognised by the U.S. FDA, which has approved stearamide, oleamide and/or erucamide for adhesives; coatings for articles in food contact; coatings for polyolefin films; defoaming agents for manufacture of paper and paperboard; animal glue (defoamer in food packaging; in EVA copolymers for food packaging; lubricants for manufacture of metallic food packaging; irradiation of prepared foods; release agents in manufacture of food packaging materials, food contact surface of paper and paperboard; cellophane in food packaging; closure sealing gaskets; and release agents in polymeric resins and petroleum wax. The low order of toxicity indicates that the use of FND Amides does not pose a significant hazard to human health.

#### COCONUT MONOETHANOLAMIDE

The differences in chain length, degree of saturation of the carbon chains, source of the natural oils, or addition of an amino group in the chain would not be expected to have an impact on the toxicity profile. This conclusion is supported by a number of studies in the FND family of chemicals (amines, cationics, and amides as separate categories) that show no differences in the length or degree of saturation of the alkyl substituents and is also supported by the limited toxicity of these long-chain substituted chemicals.

Fatty acid amides (FAA) are ubiquitous in household and commercial environments. The most common of these are based on coconut oil fatty acids alkanolamides. These are the most widely studied in terms of human exposure.

Fatty acid diethanolamides (C8-C18) are classified by Comite Europeen des Agents de Surface et de leurs Intermediaires Organiques (CESIO) as Irritating (Xi) with the risk phrases R38 (Irritating to skin) and R41 (Risk of serious damage to eyes). Fatty acid monoethanolamides are classified as Irritant (Xi) with the risk phrases R41

Several studies of the sensitization potential of cocoamide diethanolamide (DEA) indicate that this FAA induces occupational allergic contact dermatitis and a number of reports on skin allergy patch testing of cocoamide DEA have been published. These tests indicate that allergy to cocoamide DEA is becoming more common.

Alkanolamides are manufactured by condensation of diethanolamine and the methylester of long chain fatty acids. Several alkanolamides (especially secondary alkanolamides) are susceptible to nitrosamine formation which constitutes a potential health problem. Nitrosamine contamination is possible either from pre-existing contamination of the diethanolamine used to manufacture coccamide DEA, or from nitrosamine formation by nitrosating agents in formulations containing coccamide DEA. According to the Cosmetic Directive (2000) coccamide DEA must not be used in products with nitrosating agents because of the risk of formation of N-nitrosamines. The maximum content allowed in cosmetics is 5% fatty acid dialkanolamides, and the maximum content of N-nitrosodialkanolamines is 50 mg/kg. The preservative 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol is a known nitrosating agent for secondary and tertiary amines or amides. Model assays have indicated that 2-bromo-2-nitropropane-1,3-diol may lead to the N-nitrosation of diethanolamine forming the carcinogenic compound, N-nitrosodiethanolamine which is a potent liver carcinogen in rats (IARC 1978).

Several FAAs have been tested in short-term genotoxicity assays. No indication of any potential to cause genetic damage was seen Lauramide DEA was tested in mutagenicity assays and did not show mutagenic activity in Salmonella typhimurium strains or in hamster embryo cells. Cocoamide DEA was not mutagenic in strains of Salmonella typhimurium when tested with or without metabolic activation

Environmental and Health Assessment of Substances in Household Detergents and Cosmetic Detergent Products, Environment Project, 615, 2001. Miljoministeriet (Danish Environmental Protection Agency)

Irritation Assessment of irritating effects: Skin contact causes irritation. May cause severe damage to the eyes. Experimental/calculated data: Skin corrosion/irritation rabbit: Irritant. Serious eye damage/irritation rabbit: Severely irritating. Respiratory/Skin sensitization Assessment of sensitization: No sensitizing effect. Experimental/calculated data: guinea pig. Non-sensitizing. Germ cell mutagenicity Assessment of mutagenicity: No mutagenic effect was found in various tests with bacteria and mammalian cell culture Experimental/calculated data: Ames - test Bacteria: negative (Directive 84/449/EEC, B.14) Carcinogenicity Assessment of carcinogenicity: The whole of the information assessable provides no indication of a carcinogenic effect. Reproductive toxicity Assessment of reproduction toxicity: The information available on the product provides no indication of reproductive toxicity. Specific target organ toxicity (single exposure) Assessment of STOT single: Based on the available information there is no specific target organ toxicity to be expected after a single exposure. Repeated dose toxicity and Specific target organ toxicity (repeated exposure) Assessment of repeated dose toxicity: The information available on the product provides no indication of toxicity: The information available on the product provides no indication of toxicity on target organs after repeated exposure. \*BASF Comperlan 100SDS

#### SODIUM LAURYL ETHER SULFATE & WATER

No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.

SODIUM LAURYL ETHER SULFATE & SODIUM MONO-C10-16-ALKYL SULFATE & SODIUM

The material may produce moderate eye irritation leading to inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.

Page **11** of **13** Issue Date: 07/06/2019 Chemwatch: 5355-76 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 16/06/2019

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

CHLORIDE				
COCONUT MONOETHANOLAMIDE	The product has not been tested. The statement has been derived from substances/products of a similar structure or composition.			
Acute Toxicity	×	Carcinogenicity	×	
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	×	
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✓	STOT - Single Exposure	×	
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	×	STOT - Repeated Exposure	×	
Mutagenicity	×	Aspiration Hazard	x	

Legend:

X − Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification
 y − Data available to make classification

#### **SECTION 12 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

#### Toxicity

		'			
Citrus Blast Wash & Wax	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
sodium lauryl ether sulfate	NOEC	48	Fish	0.26mg/L	5
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	5-840mg/L	2
sodium chloride	EC50	48	Crustacea	402.6mg/L	4
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	2430mg/L	4
	NOEC	6	Fish	0.001mg/L	4
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
	LC50	96	Fish	>3mg/L	2
coconut monoethanolamide	EC50	48	Crustacea	3mg/L	2
	EC0	24	Crustacea	Crustacea 11mg/L	
	NOEC	672	Fish	0.32mg/L	2
	ENDPOINT	TEST DURATION (HR)	SPECIES	VALUE	SOURCE
water	LC50	96	Fish	897.520mg/L	3
	EC50	96	Algae or other aquatic plants	8768.874mg/L	3

Legend:

Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. EPIWIN Suite V3.12 (QSAR) - Aquatic Toxicity Data (Estimated) 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data

Harmful to aquatic organisms.

DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.

#### Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
sodium chloride	LOW	LOW
water	LOW	LOW

#### **Bioaccumulative potential**

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
sodium chloride	LOW (LogKOW = 0.5392)
water	LOW (LogKOW = -1.38)

#### Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
sodium chloride	LOW (KOC = 14.3)
water	LOW (KOC = 14.3)

Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

Issue Date: **07/06/2019**Print Date: **16/06/2019** 

#### **SECTION 13 DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS**

#### Waste treatment methods

#### ▶ DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains.

- It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal.
- ▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first.
- ▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority.
- Product / Packaging disposal

  Recycle wherever possible.
  - Consult manufacturer for recycling options or consult local or regional waste management authority for disposal if no suitable treatment or disposal facility can be identified.
  - Dispose of by: burial in a land-fill specifically licensed to accept chemical and / or pharmaceutical wastes or incineration in a licensed apparatus (after admixture with suitable combustible material).
  - ▶ Decontaminate empty containers. Observe all label safeguards until containers are cleaned and destroyed.

#### SECTION 14 TRANSPORT INFORMATION

#### Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	NO
HAZCHEM	Not Applicable

Land transport (ADG): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

#### **SECTION 15 REGULATORY INFORMATION**

Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

#### SODIUM LAURYL ETHER SULFATE(68585-34-2) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals	Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Index
Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)	GESAMP/EHS Composite List - GESAMP Hazard Profiles

#### SODIUM MONO-C10-16-ALKYL SULFATE(68585-47-7) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

#### SODIUM CHLORIDE(7647-14-5) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)
Australia Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicines and Poisons (SUSMP) - Index

IMO Provisional Categorization of Liquid Substances - List 3: (Trade-named) mixtures containing at least 99% by weight of components already assessed by IMO, presenting safety hazards

#### COCONUT MONOETHANOLAMIDE(68140-00-1) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

#### WATER(7732-18-5) IS FOUND ON THE FOLLOWING REGULATORY LISTS

Australia Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS)

IMO IBC Code Chapter 18: List of products to which the Code does not apply

#### **National Inventory Status**

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AICS	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate; water; sodium lauryl ether sulfate; sodium chloride; coconut monoethanolamide)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes
Japan - ENCS	No (coconut monoethanolamide)
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	Yes
USA - TSCA	Yes
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	No (sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate; sodium lauryl ether sulfate)
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - ARIPS	No (sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate)
Thailand - TECI	No (sodium mono-C10-16-alkyl sulfate)
Legend:	Yes = All declared ingredients are on the inventory No = Not determined or one or more ingredients are not on the inventory and are not exempt from listing(see specific ingredients in brackets)

Chemwatch: 5355-76 Page 13 of 13 Issue Date: 07/06/2019 Version No: 2.1.1.1 Print Date: 16/06/2019

#### Citrus Blast Wash & Wax

**SECTION 16 OTHER INFORMATION** 

Revision Date	07/06/2019
Initial Date	07/06/2019

#### Other information

#### Ingredients with multiple cas numbers

Name	CAS No
sodium lauryl ether sulfate	9004-82-4, 3088-31-1, 68891-38-3, 1335-72-4, 68585-34-2, 91648-56-5, 51286-51-2, 1335-73-5, 11121-04-3, 12627-22-4, 12627-23-5, 32057-62-8, 37325-23-8, 39390-84-6, 39450-08-3, 42504-27-8, 51059-21-3, 53663-56-2, 56572-89-5, 57762-43-3, 57762-59-1, 66747-17-9, 73651-68-0, 74349-47-6, 76724-02-2, 95508-27-3, 98112-64-2, 113096-26-7, 115284-60-1, 116958-77-1
sodium chloride	7647-14-5, 14762-51-7, 16887-00-6
coconut monoethanolamide	90622-77-8, 68140-00-1

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

#### **Definitions and abbreviations**

PC-TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average

PC-STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit

IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists

STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit

TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit.

IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations

OSF: Odour Safety Factor

NOAEL: No Observed Adverse Effect Level

LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level

TLV: Threshold Limit Value

LOD: Limit Of Detection

OTV: Odour Threshold Value

BCF: BioConcentration Factors BEI: Biological Exposure Index

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.